

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

0

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,986	09/26/2005	Giampiero Morini	FE 6094 (US)	4669
34872 Basell USA In	7590 01/25/2008	EXAMINER		
Delaware Corporate Center II 2 Righter Parkway, Suite #300 Wilmington, DE 19803			CHOI, LING SIU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
.,		•	1796	
•				
	,		MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/550,986	MORINI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	•	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Ling-Siu Choi ears on the cover sheet with the c	1796
Period for Reply		••••••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	<u>ctober 2007</u> .	
,	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 U.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-23 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,8,9 and 11-23 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-7 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammon troto the attached emer	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/30/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/550,986

Art Unit: 1796

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The request filed on October 30, 2007 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/550986 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. This Office Action is in response to the Preliminary Amendment filed 09/26/2005. Claim 10 was canceled and claim 23 has been added. Claims 1-9 and 11-23 are now pending.

### Claim Analysis

## 3. Summary of claim 1:

An add	duct comprising MgCl <sub>2</sub> • (EtOH) <sub>m</sub> (ROH) <sub>n</sub> (H <sub>2</sub> 0) <sub>p</sub>
R	a C <sub>1-15</sub> hydrocarbon group excluding ethyl, optionally substituted with at least one group comprising a heteroatom;
n, m	indexes > 0 satisfying the equations $(n+m) \ge 0.7$ and $0.05 \le n/(n+m) \le 0.95$ ;
р	a number ranging from 0 to 0.7
with th	e proviso that when R is methyl and (n+m) is in the range of 0.7 to 1, the value of n/(n+m) ranges from 0.05 to 0.45

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/550,986

Art Unit: 1796

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 8-9, and 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Invernizzi et al. (US 4,506,027 = EP 0 123 767 A).

Invernizzi et al. disclose a supported Ziegler catalyst for olefin polymerization, obtained by reacting an organometallic compound of aluminum and an activated solid support in an inert solvent, followed by reacting with a titanium halide and a vanadium halide in the presence of an inert diluent, wherein the activated solid support is prepared by spray-drying of a solution of magnesium chloride in a mixture of ethanol and methanol in a weight ratio of ethanol to methanol from 0.5:1 to 2.5: 1 (claim 1). Attention is drawn to Table 1, wherein %EtOH = wt% of ethanol in the support and  $R_3$  = ethanol/methanol weight ratio in the support. Thus, wt% of magnesium chloride can be calculated as (100% - %EtOH - %MeOH). Accordingly, calculated (n + m) and [n /(n + m)] are summarized as follows when R = Me:

Example	%EtOH	%MeOH	m (EtOH)	n (MeOH)	n+m	n / (n+m)
1	14.2	7	0.37	0.27	0.64	0.42
4	14	2.3	0.34	0.08	0.42	0.19
8	18	<u>12</u>	0.54	0.51	1.05	0.49
9	7.5	15	0.20	0.58	0.78	0.74

Application/Control Number: 10/550,986

Art Unit: 1796

10	7.5	15	0.20	0.58	0.78	0.74
11	16	4	0.42	0.16	0.58	0.28
14	8	6	0.19	0.21	0.40	0.53
15	7.5	15	0.20	0.58	0.78	0.74

In view of Example 8, since methanol is used in combination with ethanol and n+m =1.05 which falls out of the range of 0.7-1, the ratio of [n/(n+m)] will not be required to meet the proviso. And it falls into the range of 0.05-0.95. Thus, the support disclosed in Example 8 meet the limitations set in the present claims. Invernizzi et al. further disclose the cocatalyst is alkyl aluminium or alkyl aluminium halide (claim 2). Accordingly, the present claims are anticipated by the disclosure of Invernizzi et al.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Invernizzi et al. (US 4,506,027) do not teach or fairly suggest an adduct comprising  $\underline{\mathsf{MgCl}_2} \bullet (\mathsf{EtOH})_m(\mathsf{ROH})_n(\mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O})_p$  having (A)  $\underline{(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m})} > \underline{\mathsf{1}} [\mathsf{or} 2-5]$  and  $0.05 \le \mathsf{n}/(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m}) \le 0.95$  and (B)  $\mathsf{n} / (\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m}) = 0.05 - 0.45$  when R is methyl and  $\underline{\mathsf{n}/(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m})} = 0.7 - 1$ ;  $\underline{\mathsf{MgCl}_2} \bullet (\mathsf{EtOH})_m(\mathsf{ROH})_n(\mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O})_p$  having (A)  $\underline{(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m})} \ge 0.7$  and  $\underline{\mathsf{n}/(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m})} = 0.1-0.4$  [or 0.15-0.35] and (B)  $\mathsf{n} / (\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m}) = 0.05 - 0.45$  when R is methyl and  $\underline{(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m})} = 0.7 - 1$ ; or  $\underline{\mathsf{MgCl}_2} \bullet (\mathsf{EtOH})_m(\mathsf{ROH})_n(\mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O})_p$  having (A)  $\underline{(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m})} \ge 0.7$  and  $0.05 \le \mathsf{m}/(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m}) = 0.7 - 1$ ; or  $\underline{\mathsf{MgCl}_2} \bullet (\mathsf{EtOH})_m(\mathsf{ROH})_n(\mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O})_p$  having (A)  $\underline{(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m})} \ge 0.7$  and  $0.05 \le \mathsf{m}/(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m}) = 0.7 - 1$ ; or  $\underline{\mathsf{MgCl}_2} \bullet (\mathsf{EtOH})_m(\mathsf{ROH})_n(\mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O})_p$  having (A)  $\underline{(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m})} \ge 0.7$  and  $0.05 \le \mathsf{m}/(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{m})$ 

Application/Control Number: 10/550,986

Art Unit: 1796

 $n/(n+m) \le 0.95$ ; (B) n/(n+m) = 0.05 - 0.45 when R is methyl and (n+m) = 0.7 - 1; and (C) p = 0.01 - 0.6 [ or 0.01 - 0.4].

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Sacchetti et al. (US 5,221,651 = EP 0 395 083 A), and Tanaglia et al. (US 5,374,695 = EP 0 544 340 A).

Sacchetti et al. disclose a  $\underline{\mathsf{MgCl_2/ROH}}$  adduct, wherein R is an alkyl, cycloalkyl or alkylaryl radical with 1-12 carbon atoms and 0.2-2 moles of alcohol per mole of  $\mathsf{MgCl_2}$  (claim 1). However, Sacchetti et al. do not teach or fairly suggest an adduct comprising  $\underline{\mathsf{MgCl_2}} \bullet (\mathsf{EtOH})_m (\mathsf{ROH})_n (\mathsf{H_20})_p$  having (A)  $(\mathsf{n+m}) \geq 0.7$  and  $0.05 \leq \mathsf{n/(n+m)} \leq 0.95$  and (B) n /  $(\mathsf{n+m}) = 0.05$  - 0.45 when R is methyl and  $(\mathsf{n+m}) = 0.7$  – 1.

Tanaglia et al. disclose a solid support and granular MgCl<sub>2</sub>, obtained (A) by spray drying an alcohol solution of MgCl<sub>2</sub> and having an alcohol hydroxyl content of 18 to 25% by weight, expressed as a weight of ethanol, is suspended in a liquid hydrocarbon solvent and an aliphatic alcohol R'-OH, wherein R' is an alkyl radical, linear or branched, containing from 1 to 5 carbon atoms; (B) adding a titanium tetra-alkoxide Ti(OR)<sub>4</sub>, wherein R is an alkyl radical, linear or branched, containing from 1 to 8 carbon atoms, to the suspension thus obtained, with a molar ratio R'-OH/MgCl<sub>2</sub> of 0.5:1 to 1.5:1 and with a molar ratio MgCl<sub>2</sub> / Ti(OR)<sub>4</sub> of 0.3:1 to 3:1; (C) heating the suspension until a homogeneous solution is obtained; and (D) cooling the solution to precipitate a granular

Application/Control Number: 10/550,986 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

solid (col. 2, lines 14-39). Thus, Tanaglia et al. do not teach or fairly suggest the claimed adduct comprising  $\underline{\mathsf{MgCI}_2} \bullet (\underline{\mathsf{EtOH}})_{\mathsf{m}}(\underline{\mathsf{ROH}})_{\mathsf{n}}(\underline{\mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{0}})$  having (A) (n+m)  $\geq$  0.7 and 0.05  $\leq$  n/(n+m)  $\leq$  0.95 and (B) n / (n+m) = 0.05 - 0.45 when R is methyl and (n+m) = 0.7 - 1.

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-1098.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on 571-272-1114.

Ling-sui Choi Primary Examiner

January 22, 2008